

Buffalo, S.C.
April 3, 1998

Dear Congressman Burton

3606 90 SEP 17 92:21
Let me get right to it. I am 82 years old and
in good health - as a result of taking food
supplements of my own choice. I have been fighting
these so's for 30 years when Senator
Proxmire first crossed swords with them.
The f.s.a. couldn't care less whether you,
I or anyone else maintained their health. I
have read where some of them work part time
at f.s.a. and other time at the drug houses.
It is no surprise to me that the damnable
CODEX is a German breed. I put in my
time trying to help straighten out those b.-
in the 40's. I have taken niacin, genko
tryptophan (banned) and many others
for 30 years. Too much vitamin C at
one time gave me the runs one time
and that's the total of my bad experience. Now
when you consider the crap the drug Co's
are peddling on T.V., you hear

99N-0391

C 52

about possible liver damage, muscle
damage, don't take if you are pregnant,
sleeplessness and on and on. All these
offered with the blessing of FDA. As I
see it, their only use would be to make
sure the labels on ^{food} supplements contain
what they say is in the contents. Consider
that the latest findings are that over $\frac{1}{3}$
the population are severely overweight. They
waddle around like ducks. This is
the result of ill advice by the food makers,
and our health guardians that the food is
O.K. You would never achieve these results
with food supplements. My request or prayer
to you is this: Once and for all time
give us legislation that will protect the ones who
are trying to stay healthy from being shut out
or priced out of the natural things we know
will keep us healthy.

Sincerely
John L. Bolt

FDA OVERSIGHT HEARING ON CODEX BADLY NEEDED

The Honorable Dan Burton, Chairman
House Government Reform and Oversight Committee
c/o Milt Copulos/Beth Clay
Room 2157 RHOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Burton:

Prior to last September's meeting of the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Food for Special Dietary Use, you and four other members of Congress strongly requested in writing that the FDA's Dr. Yetley remove the second paragraph from the U.S. codex comments on agenda item #5 (vitamins and minerals), because it contradicted the first paragraph, and lent credence to the unscientific notion that "maximum upper potency limits" should be put on vitamins and minerals. Dr. Yetley not only ignored your written request, but John Hammell caught her doing so on videotape which has been put on the Life Extension Foundation's website in the political section, along with footage of John being forced to stop taping by the German Codex Chairman (<http://www.lef.org>). A complete account of what happened is available at <http://www.iahf.com> under "breaking news."

From a standpoint of safety, there is no justification for attempting to apply a "Risk Assessment" document which was designed for evaluating toxic pharmaceutical drugs, to dietary supplements, which have been well established through the National Association of Poison Control Centers, and numerous other sources to be extraordinarily safe, even when consumed in doses much higher than the RDA. Orthomolecular physicians such as Bonnie Camo, M.D. have seen doses as high as 3 grams per day of niacin used in complete safety, while the National Academy of Sciences and FDA are advocating a maximum upper potency limit of just 35 mg, just because a few highly sensitive individuals experience a tingling sensation known as the "niacin flush" when taking niacin in low doses. There is nothing unsafe about the niacin flush, which actually helps circulation and is considered pleasurable by some.

It is obvious to consumers around the world that the FDA is attempting to use the highly unscientific, and heavily prejudiced National Academy of Sciences document titled "A Risk Assessment Model for Establishing Upper Limits for Nutrients" as a means of moving beyond the consumer generated impasse at the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Dietary Use. The FDA has announced its intention to harmonize its regulations to emerging Codex standards in an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that was published in the Federal Register on July 7, 1997, vol. 62, #129 pp.36243-36248. You can view this at <http://iahf.com/codex-fda.txt>.

I urge you to call John Hammell, Bonnie Camo M.D., and other witnesses to a Hearing before your Committee, and I urge you to force the FDA to withdraw the second paragraph of its comments along with the NAS Risk Assessment document in keeping with current US Law. Congress has spoken clearly on this with the passage of DSHEA and most recently again in October of 1997 when dietary supplements were specifically exempted from the harmonization language in the FDA Reform Bill.

Name: John Hammell Date: 4/9/99 1999

Address: 1 P.O.B. 144

City: Boulder

State or Province: CO

Zip or Postal Code and Country: 80301

cc/MHC/BethClay

The Honorable Dan Burton
Room 2157 RHOB
Washington D.C. 20515

